

## SWITCHED SINGULAR LINEAR SYSTEMS AND REACHABILITY

**J. Clotet**

Dep. Matemàtica Aplicada I  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
Spain  
josep.clotet@upc.edu

**M.D. Magret**

Dep. Matemàtica Aplicada I  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
Spain  
maria.dolors.magret@upc.edu

### Abstract

We consider switched singular linear systems and conditions for such a system to be reachable/controllable in the cases where some hypotheses hold.

### Key words

Switched linear system, controllability.

### 1 Introduction

Switched singular linear systems arise from various fields such as electrical and electronic engineering, aeronautical or automotive. [Sun and Ge, 2005] is a nice and complete survey on these systems. A very complete survey of the methods which are required to study singular systems (traditional approaches are not suitable for their study) is [Dai, 1989]. Switched singular linear systems have been studied by B. Meng and F.J. Zhang ([Meng and Zhang, 2006], [Meng and Zhang, 2007]), who provided necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for reachability.

Our goal is, under the assumption of some special conditions, the algebraic characterization of reachability.

### 2 Preliminaries

First, we recall the concept of switched singular linear systems.

**Definition 2.1.** A switched singular linear system  $\Sigma$  is a system which consists of several linear singular subsystems and a piecewise constant map  $\sigma$  taking values into the index set  $M$  which determines the switching between them.

In the continuous case, such a system can be mathematically described by

$$\begin{cases} E_{\sigma} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A_{\sigma} \mathbf{x}(t) + B_{\sigma} u(t) \\ \mathbf{y}(t) = C_{\sigma} \mathbf{x}(t) \end{cases}$$

where  $E_{\sigma}, A_{\sigma} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $B_{\sigma} \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $C_{\sigma} \in M_{p \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{rk } E_{\sigma} < n$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Given an initial time  $t_0$ , a switching path is a function of time  $\theta : [t_0, T) \rightarrow M$ , with  $t_0 < T \leq \infty$  and the index set  $M = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ .

In the continuous-time case, two switching paths  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  over  $[t_0, T)$  are said to be indistinguishable if the time set

$$\{t \in [t_0, T) \mid \theta_1(t) \neq \theta_2(t)\}$$

is a set of isolated real numbers.

**Definition 2.3.** A switching path  $\theta$  is said to be well-defined on  $[t_0, T)$  if it is defined on  $[t_0, T)$  and for all  $t \in [t_0, T)$ , both  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \theta(s)$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} \theta(s)$  exist and the set

$$\left\{ t \in [t_0, T) \mid \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \theta(s) \neq \lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} \theta(s) \right\}$$

is finite for any finite sub-interval of  $[t_0, T)$  (in the case where  $t = t_0$ , we will consider  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t_0^-} \theta(s) = \theta(t_0)$ ).

Time  $t \in (t_0, T)$  such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \theta(s) \neq \lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} \theta(s)$  is called a switching time. Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{\ell}$  be the ordered switching times of  $\theta$ . The sequence of ordered pairs

$$\{(t_0, \theta(t_0^+)), (t_1, \theta(t_1^+)), \dots, (t_{\ell}, \theta(t_{\ell}^+))\}$$

is said to be the *switching sequence* of  $\theta$  over  $[t_0, T)$ .

Note that a switching sequence  $\{(t_i, k_i)\}_{i=0}^{\ell}$  uniquely determines a switching path (up to possibly rearranging the value at the switching times) by the re-

lationship:

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} k_0 & t \in [t_0, t_1) \\ k_1 & t \in [t_1, t_2) \\ \vdots & \\ k_\ell & t \in [t_\ell, T) \end{cases}$$

### 3 Our set-up

We will assume from now that  $M = \{1, 2\}$  (an analogous reasoning might be applied to the case of more subsystems) and that the matrix pencils  $\lambda E_1 + A_1$ ,  $\lambda E_2 + A_2$  are regular and in the form

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & \\ & \mathcal{N}_1 \end{pmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & \\ & I_{n-n_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_2} & \\ & \mathcal{N}_2 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} G_2 & \\ & I_{n-n_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  are nilpotent matrices with nilpotent indices  $h_1, h_2$ . Let us denote by  $h$  the maximum of these nilpotent indices. We will finally assume that the function  $u(t)$  is a  $h$  times piecewise continuous differentiable function.

We will write

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} \\ B_{1,2} \end{pmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} B_{2,1} \\ B_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we introduce the following notation, for  $i = 1, 2$ :

$$\bar{G}_i = \begin{pmatrix} G_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$$

Let us denote by  $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0, u, \sigma)$  the state trajectory at time  $t$  of the continuous-time switched singular linear system  $\Sigma$  starting from  $t_0$  with initial value  $x_0$ , input  $u$  and switching well-defined path  $\sigma$ .

### 4 Reachable states

Let us remember the notion of reachability.

**Definition 4.1.** System  $\Sigma$  is (completely) reachable if for any given initial time  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and state  $x_f \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a real number  $t_f > t_0$ , a switching well-defined path  $\sigma : [t_0, t_f] \rightarrow M = \{1, 2\}$  and an input  $u : [t_0, t_f] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , such that:

1.  $(I_{n_i}|0)x_f = \lim_{s \rightarrow t_f^-} (I_{n_i}|0)\Phi(t_f, t_0, 0, u, \sigma), \quad i = 1, 2$
2.  $(0|I_{n-n_i})x_f = \lim_{s \rightarrow t_f^-} \left( - \sum_{j=0}^{h_i-1} \mathcal{N}_i^j B_{i,2} u^{(j)}(t_f) \right), \quad i = 1, 2$

### 5 A previous result

In [Clotet, Ferrer and Magret, 2009], the authors determined the space of controllable and the space of reachable states and characterized (completely) controllable and (completely) reachable singular systems satisfying the “*equisingularity condition*” ( $n_1 = n_2$ ).

**Theorem.** (see [Clotet, Ferrer and Magret, 2009]) For system  $\Sigma$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\Sigma$  is (completely) controllable.
- (b)  $\Sigma$  is (completely) reachable.
- (c)  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathfrak{R} \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_1 | B_{1,2} \rangle$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathfrak{R} \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle$ .

where  $\mathfrak{R} = \sum_{p=1}^{n_1} \mathfrak{R}_p$ , being

$$\mathfrak{R}_1 = \text{Im} [B_{1,1}, B_{2,1}]$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_2 = \mathfrak{R}_1 + G_1 \mathfrak{R}_1 + G_2 \mathfrak{R}_1 + \dots + G_1^{n_1-1} \mathfrak{R}_1 + G_2^{n_1-1} \mathfrak{R}_1$$

$$\dots$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_{p+1} = \mathfrak{R}_p + G_1 \mathfrak{R}_p + G_2 \mathfrak{R}_p + \dots + G_1^{n_1-1} \mathfrak{R}_p + G_2^{n_1-1} \mathfrak{R}_p$$

$$\dots$$

### 6 Characterization of reachable states

Let us define

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = (\langle \bar{G}_1 | B_1 \rangle \oplus (\{0\} \times \langle \mathcal{N}_1 | B_{1,2} \rangle)) + (\langle \bar{G}_2 | B_2 \rangle \oplus (\{0\} \times \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle))$$

where  $\langle \bar{G}_i | B_i \rangle$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the vector subspace spanned by

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n_i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} B_i, \begin{pmatrix} G_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} B_i, \begin{pmatrix} G_i^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} B_i, \dots$$

and  $\langle \mathcal{N}_i | B_{i,2} \rangle$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the vector subspace spanned by  $B_{i,2}, \mathcal{N}_i B_{i,2}, \dots, \mathcal{N}_i^{h_i-1} B_{i,2}$ .

Similarly, for  $k > 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{V}_k = (\langle \bar{G}_1 | \mathcal{V}_{k-1} \rangle \oplus (\{0\} \times \langle \mathcal{N}_1 | B_{1,2} \rangle)) + (\langle \bar{G}_2 | \mathcal{V}_{k-1} \rangle \oplus (\{0\} \times \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle))$$

where  $\langle \bar{G}_i | \mathcal{V}_{k-1} \rangle$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the vector subspace spanned by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v, \begin{pmatrix} G_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v, \begin{pmatrix} G_i^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v, \dots \mid v \in \mathcal{V}_{k-1} \right\}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{n_0} = \mathcal{V}_{n_0+1} = \dots$  where  $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ .

B. Meng and F.J. Zhang found necessary and sufficient conditions for a switched singular linear system to be (completely) controllable / (completely) reachable. Concretely, they obtained (adapted to our case) the following results.

**Theorem.** ([Meng and Zhang, 2007]) For system  $\Sigma$ ,

- (a) if  $\Sigma$  is (completely) controllable then  $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (b) if  $\Sigma$  is (completely) reachable, then  $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem.** ([Meng and Zhang, 2007]) For system  $\Sigma$ ,

- (a) if  $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle \mathcal{N}_i | B_{i,2} \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n-n_i}$  for all  $i \in M$ , then  $\Sigma$  is (completely) controllable.  
(b) if  $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle \mathcal{N}_i | B_{i,2} \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n-n_i}$  for all  $i \in M$ , then  $\Sigma$  is (completely) reachable.

The main result is the following one.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let us assume that  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$  and there exists  $i_0 \in M$  such that  $\langle \mathcal{N}_{i_0} | B_{i_0,2} \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n-n_{i_0}}$ . Then the switched singular linear system  $\Sigma$  is (completely) reachable.*

*Proof.* For a given switching sequence

$$\sigma = \{t_i, i+1\}_{i=0}^1, t_0 < t_1 < t_2$$

we consider

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \{x = \Phi(t_i, t_0, 0, u, \sigma) \mid u : [t_0, t_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m\},$$

$1 \leq i \leq 2$ .

Let  $\ell_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \langle G_1 | B_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_1 | B_{1,2} \rangle$$

according to [Dai, 1989]. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{G_2 \ell_2} (I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 + \langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \end{array} \right)$$

because  $\mathcal{R}_2$  is the set

$$\left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(u, \sigma) \\ x_2(u) \end{pmatrix}, u : [t_0, t_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \right\}$$

where  $x_1(u, \sigma)$  is  $e^{G_2 \ell_2} (I_{n_2} | 0) \Phi(t_1^-, t_0, 0, u, \sigma) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{G_2(t_2-\tau)} B_{2,1} u(\tau) d\tau$ , and

$$x_2(u) = - \sum_{j=0}^{h-1} \mathcal{N}_2^j B_{2,2} u^{(j)}(t_2). \text{ Then } \mathcal{R}_2 \text{ is equal to}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{G_2 \ell_2} (I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 + \langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle) \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{G_2 \ell_2} (I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 + \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \\ & \quad + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{n-n_2} \end{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using a Lemma by Meng-Zhang, the dimension of  $\mathcal{R}_2$  is greater or equal than

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 + \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{n-n_2} \end{pmatrix} \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \right) \\ &= \dim \left( (I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 + \langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \right) \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \\ &= \dim \left( (I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \left( \langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq ((I_{n_2} | 0) \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle)$  (Meng-Zhang),

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{R}_2 &\geq \dim(\mathcal{R}_1 + (\langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle)) \\ &= \dim(\langle G_1 | B_{1,1} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_1 | B_{1,2} \rangle \\ & \quad + (\langle G_2 | B_{2,1} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathcal{N}_2 | B_{2,2} \rangle)) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{V}_1 = n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Sigma$  is (completely) reachable.  $\square$

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper a similar characterization of reachable switched singular linear systems to that in [Clotet, Ferrer and Magret, 2009] is obtained. Note that the hypothesis of ‘‘equisingularity’’ in [Clotet, Ferrer and Magret, 2009] is no longer in the statement. The controls are not assumed to be in the set of admissible controls, as in [Meng and Zhang, 2006].

## 8 Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by MTM2010-19356-C02-02.

## References

- Clotet, J., Ferrer, J., Magret, M. D. (2009) in *Switched singular linear systems. Proceedings of the 17th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation*. Thessaloniki, Greece, Jun. 24-26, pp. 1343–1347.
- Dai, L. (1989). *Singular Control Systems*. Springer. New York.
- Meng, B., Zhang, F. J. (2006) Reachability conditions for switched linear singular systems *IEE Trans. Autom. Control*, **51-3**, pp. 482–488.
- Meng, B., Zhang, F. J. (2007) Controllability conditions for switched linear singular systems *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series B: Applications & Algorithms*, **14**, pp. 641–657.
- Sun, Z., Ge, S. S. (2005). *Switched Linear Systems*. Springer. London.