

# A QUICK LOOK AT ROW ECHELON FORM OF MULTI-INPUT CONTROL SYSTEMS

**Miguel V. Carriegos**

Department of Mathematics  
University of León  
Spain  
miguel.carriegos@unileon.es

**Montserrat M. Cb López**

Department of Mathematics  
University of León  
Spain  
mmlopc@unileon.es

## Abstract

We give a first generalization of the invariants and canonical forms of single-input linear control systems over principal ideal domains to the multi-input case by means of quotient rings.

## Key words

Multi-input, dynamical-systems, invariants, row-echelon, Bézout, pid.

## 1 Introduction

The feedback classification of linear dynamical systems over a commutative ring is an open problem on Control Theory, see [Brewer, 1986], [McDonald, 1984], [Sontag, 1998] and [Hermida, 2003] for a general lecture. In other words, we are about given a certain linear dynamical system  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  over a particular commutative ring  $R$ , find its feedback invariants, that is to say, finding the canonical dynamical system  $\hat{\Sigma} = (\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  over  $R$  feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma$ . Eventually, some cases have been studied and solved, see for example [Brewer and Klinger, 2001], [Brunovsky, 1970], [Carriegos and García, 2004] and [Carriegos and Sánchez, 2001].

In this paper, we focus our interest in applying for digital systems or coding case. So, we deal with linear dynamical systems over  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  or finite ring, see [Carriegos and Hermida, 2003] for reading a canonical form for single-input  $n$ -dimensional linear systems. In this way, the main section of this study deal with rising from single-input to multi-input over a principal ideal domain  $R$ . Finally, under some conditions, we find row-echelon form  $\hat{\Sigma} = (\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  corresponding to a given linear control system  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  over  $R$ .

## 2 Feedback equivalence

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity element. An  $m$ -input  $n$ -dimensional linear control system  $\Sigma$

over  $R$  is a pair  $(A, B)$ , i.e.  $A = (a_{ij})$  an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $B = (b_{ij})$  an  $n \times m$  matrix with entries in  $R$ .

We say that two  $m$ -input  $n$ -dimensional systems  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B')$  are (static) feedback equivalent, and write  $\Sigma \sim_R \Sigma'$ , if there exist invertible matrices  $P$  and  $Q$ , and a feedback matrix  $F$  such that  $B' = PBQ$  and  $PA - A'P = BF$ . The objective of the feedback relation is to obtain a matrix  $F$  such that  $A' = P(A - BF)P^{-1}$  has some desired property. Note that, one of the principal difficulty of this problem is to find change of basis  $P$  and  $Q$  in the respective sampling spaces. In this way, in some cases, the difficulty of the static feedback classification is tackled through enlargement systems, i.e. for playing a technique called dynamic feedback, see [Brewer and Klinger, 1988] for reading general case and [Hermida and Trobajo, 2003] for reading case  $R$  a principal ideal domain, and for playing a technique called weakly feedback, see [Hermida and López, 2006].

So, on the one hand, we say that two  $m$ -input  $n$ -dimensional systems  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B')$  are dynamically feedback equivalent, and write  $\Sigma \approx_R \Sigma'$ , if  $\Sigma(r)$  is feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma'(r)$  for some positive integer  $r$ , where

$$\Sigma(r) = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0_{r \times r} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \text{Id}_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) \right).$$

On the other hand, we say that two  $m$ -input  $n$ -dimensional systems  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B')$  are weakly feedback equivalent if  $\Sigma[s]$  is feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma'[s]$  for some positive integer  $s$ , where

$$\Sigma[s] = (A, (B | 0_{n \times s})).$$

## 3 Single-input case

Let  $R$  be a Bezout domain and let  $\Sigma = (A, b)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional single-input linear dynamical system over

$R$ . In the sense of feedback equivalence,  $\Sigma$  can be reduced to a row echelon form. That is

$$\Sigma \sim_R \left( \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n-1} & a_{1n} \\ d_2 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n-1} & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & a_{n-1n-1} & a_{n-1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_n & a_{nn} \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} d_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \right),$$

and we say that  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$  is the diagonal sequence of the system  $\Sigma$ . Moreover, the diagonal sequence of a reduced form is a feedback invariant up to units and it determines equivalence class of the control system  $\Sigma$ , see [Carriegos and Hermida, 2003].

#### 4 Multi-input case

Through this section, let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain. Let  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $m$  input linear dynamical system over  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a pid, without loss of generality we can assume that multi-input matrix  $B$  is rewrote, by some changes of basis  $P$  and  $Q$ , as

$$B = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D & 0_{t \times (m-t)} \\ \hline 0_{(n-t) \times t} & 0_{(n-t) \times (m-t)} \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}(R),$$

$$\text{where } D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{t \times t}(R),$$

$$D_i = \begin{pmatrix} d_i & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & d_i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{t_i \times t_i}(R), \quad t = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i$$

and  $d_1/d_2/\dots/d_k$ .

Now, let  $\Sigma' = (A', B')$  be another  $n$ -dimensional  $m$  input linear dynamical system over  $R$  feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma$ . By some changes of basis  $P'$  and  $Q'$ , multi-input matrix  $B'$  is assumed as matrix  $B$ . So, at this moment we have two linear systems  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B)$  feedback equivalent over  $R$  with input matrix  $B$  in the above form. Furthermore, if we consider the systems

$$\Sigma_w = \left( A, \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D & \\ \hline 0_{(n-t) \times t} & \end{array} \right) \right)$$

and, analogously  $\Sigma'_w$ , then it is clear that

$$\Sigma \sim_R \Sigma' \Leftrightarrow \Sigma_w[m-t] \sim_R \Sigma'_w[m-t].$$

**Remark 4.1.** *it is known that feedback equivalence and weakly feedback equivalence are equivalent concepts over principal ideal domains, see [Hermida and López, 2006].*

Hence, following this idea

$$\Sigma \sim_R \Sigma' \Leftrightarrow \Sigma_w \sim_R \Sigma'_w.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit element. Let  $\Sigma$  be the  $(t_i + t_{i+1})$ -input  $(t_i + t_{i+1})$ -dimensional linear system given by*

$$\Sigma = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \hline B_1 & A_1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) \right)$$

with  $D_i = d_i \text{Id}_{t_i}$ ,  $D_{i+1} = \alpha_i d_i \text{Id}_{t_{i+1}}$  and  $d_i$  a nonzero element of  $R$ . Suppose that the  $t_i$ -input  $(n - t_i)$ -dimensional system  $(A_1, B_1)$  is feedback equivalent to  $(A'_1, B'_1)$ . Then there exist  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  matrices such that  $\Sigma$  is feedback equivalent to the system

$$\Sigma' = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ \hline B'_1 & A'_1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(P_1, Q_1, F_1)$  the feedback action between  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A'_1, B'_1)$ . That is

$$P_1 A_1 - A'_1 P_1 = B'_1 F_1, \quad P_1 B_1 = B'_1 Q_1.$$

Consider the invertible  $n \times n$  block matrix  $P$ , the invertible  $(t_i + t_{i+1}) \times (t_i + t_{i+1})$  matrix  $Q$  and the  $(t_i + t_{i+1}) \times (t_i + t_{i+1})$  matrix  $F$  given by

$$P = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Q_1 & F_1 \\ \hline 0 & P_1 \end{array} \right), \quad Q = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} Q_1 & \alpha_1 F_1 \\ \hline 0 & P_1 \end{array} \right), \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$P \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \hline B_1 & A_1 \end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c|c} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ \hline B'_1 & A'_1 \end{array} \right) P = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) F,$$

where  $C_{12} = (Q_1 A_{11} + F_1 B_1 - C_{11} F_1) P_1^{-1}$  and  $C_{11} = (Q_1 A_{11} + F_1 B_1) Q_1^{-1}$  and

$$P \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) Q. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain. Let  $\Sigma$  be the  $t_i$ -input  $n$ -dimensional linear system given by*

$$\Sigma = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \hline B_1 & A_1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} D_i \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \right)$$

with  $D_i = d_i \text{Id}_{t_i}$  and  $d_i$  a nonzero element of  $R$ . Suppose that the  $t_i$ -input  $(n - t_i)$ -dimensional system  $(A_1, B_1)$  is feedback equivalent to  $(A'_1, B'_1)$ . Then there exist  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  matrices such that  $\Sigma$  is feedback equivalent to the system

$$\Sigma' = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ \hline B'_1 & A'_1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} D_i \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \right)$$

*Proof.* The result is obtained by remark 4.1 and by previous lemma 4.2 with  $\alpha_i = 0$ .  $\square$

Note that in under result, we deal with  $\pi : R \rightarrow R(d)$  the canonical ring homomorphism of  $R$  onto the quotient ring  $R/(d)$ , where  $d \neq 0$  is a non-unit of  $R$ . The extension of a system  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  to  $R/(d)$  is the linear system  $\pi(\Sigma) = (\pi(A), \pi(B))$  where  $\pi(A) = (\pi(a_{ij}))$  and  $\pi(B) = (\pi(b_{ij}))$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain. Let  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B)$  be the  $(t_i + t_{i+1})$ -input  $(t_i + t_{i+1})$ -dimensional linear systems given by*

$$\Sigma = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \hline B_1 & A_1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) \right)$$

and

$$\Sigma' = \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} A'_{11} & A'_{12} \\ \hline B'_1 & A'_1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c|c} D_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) \right)$$

with  $D_i = d_i \text{Id}_{t_i}$ ,  $D_{i+1} = \alpha_i d_i \text{Id}_{t_{i+1}}$ ,  $d_i$  a nonzero element and  $d_{i+1} = \alpha_i d_i$  a non-unit of  $R$ . Assume that extended systems  $\pi(\Sigma)$  and  $\pi(\Sigma')$  are feedback equivalent over  $R/(\alpha_i d_i)$ . Then the linear systems  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are dynamically feedback equivalent over  $R$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.6 of [Hermida and López, 2006], we have that system

$$\Sigma_{d_{i+1}} = \left( A, \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} D_i & 0 & d_{i+1} \text{Id}_{t_i} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_{i+1} & 0 & D_{i+1} \end{array} \right) \right)$$

and the analogous system  $\Sigma'_{d_{i+1}}$  are dynamically feedback equivalent over  $R$ . We follow the proof by considering the invertible matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 & -\alpha_i \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} & 0 & -\text{Id} \\ 0 & 0 & \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$$

as a feedback action over each of the systems  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ . So, we obtain that  $\Sigma[(t_i + t_{i+1})]$  and  $\Sigma'[(t_i + t_{i+1})]$  are dynamically equivalent. Finally, we conclude  $\Sigma$  is dynamically feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma'[(t_i + t_{i+1})]$  by remark 4.1.  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** *Let  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B)$  be the 4-input 4-dimensional reduced forms over  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  given by*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A' = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 7 & 6 \\ 0 & 5 & 6 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 7 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We prove that  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$  are dynamically feedback equivalent and we give a procedure for finding  $(P, F)$  feedback equivalence action between  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma'$ .

- Firstly, we consider  $\pi(\Sigma) = (\pi(A), \pi(B))$  and  $\pi(\Sigma') = (\pi(A'), \pi(B))$  extended systems over  $R/(d)$  with  $d = 6$ . Hence, we can write

$$\pi(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Secondly, in [Carriegos and Hermida, 2003] is presented a numerical procedure in order to obtain  $(P_1, F_1)$  matrices pair of feedback action for proving that  $\Sigma_1 = (A, \underline{b})$  and  $\Sigma'_1 = (A', \underline{b})$  single-input systems are feedback equivalent, with  $\underline{b} = (2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^t$ .

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 9 & 17 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -7 \\ 6 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Thirdly,  $\pi(\Sigma) = (\pi(A), \pi(B))$  and  $\pi(\Sigma') = (\pi(A'), \pi(B))$  systems are feedback equivalent by

$$P_2 = P_1, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Fourthly, by Theorem 2.1 of [Hermida and López, 2006], we have that if  $\pi(\Sigma) = (\pi(A), \pi(B))$  and  $\pi(\Sigma') = (\pi(A'), \pi(B))$  systems are feedback equivalent over  $R/(d)$ , then  $\Sigma_2 = (A, (B \mid d\text{Id}_4 \mid 0_{4 \times 1}))$  and  $\Sigma'_2 = (A, (B \mid d\text{Id}_4 \mid 0_{4 \times 1}))$  are dynamically feedback equivalent over  $R$ , by

$$P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} P'_2 & -H \\ d\text{Id}_4 & P_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} P'_2 & -H\underline{b} & -dH & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2 & 0 & -dS \\ \text{Id}_4 & N & P_2 & \underline{b}S \\ 0 & \text{Id}_1 & 0 & Q'_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -HA \\ 0 & F_2 \\ -A' & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $P'_2 P_2 + dH = \text{Id}_4$  and  $Q_2 Q'_2 + dS = \text{Id}_1$ . Observe that there exist  $P'_2, H, Q'_2$  and  $S$  matrices over  $R$

because  $P_2$  and  $Q_2$  are invertible matrices over  $R/(d)$ . Moreover, these matrices  $P'_2$ ,  $H$ ,  $Q'_2$  and  $S$  can be calculated by means of Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

- Fifthly, as  $2 = d_1/d_2 = 6$  we have that  $\Sigma_2 = (A, (B \mid d\text{Id}_4 \mid 0_{4 \times 1}))$  is feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma[2]$  and  $\Sigma'_2 = (A', (B \mid d\text{Id}_4 \mid 0_{4 \times 1}))$  is feedback equivalent to  $\Sigma'[2]$  by

$$P_4 = \text{Id}_4, \quad Q_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = 0_{6 \times 4}.$$

Note that, on the one hand the input matrix of new systems  $\Sigma[2]$  and  $\Sigma'[2]$  is

$$B[2] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that, on the other hand, we have the chain of equivalences

$$\Sigma[2] \sim_R \Sigma_2 \approx_R \Sigma'_2 \sim_R \Sigma'[2].$$

Hence,  $\Sigma[2]$  and  $\Sigma'[2]$  systems are dynamically equivalent by

$$P_5 = P_4 P_3 P_4^{-1}, \quad Q_5 = Q_4 Q_3 Q_4^{-1},$$

$$F_5 = F_4 P_4 P_3 P_4^{-1} + Q_4 (F_3 P_4^{-1} + Q_3 (-Q_4^{-1} F_4 P_4^{-1})).$$

- Sixthly and finally, by remark 4.1, we have that  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B)$  linear systems are dynamically feedback equivalent. Furthermore, if we write

$$Q_5 = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_5 = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} \\ F_{21} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $Q_{11}$  a  $4 \times 4$  matrix and  $F_{11}$  a  $4 \times 4$  matrix, then the  $(P, Q, F)$  feedback action of the dynamic equivalence over  $R$  between  $\Sigma = (A, B)$  and  $\Sigma' = (A', B)$ , is given by

$$P = P_5, \quad Q = Q_{11}, \quad F = F_{11}.$$

Note that, in Proposition 2.4 of [Hermida and López, 2006], it is proved that in above conditions  $Q_{11}$  matrix is invertible over  $R$ .  $\square$

## 5 Conclusion

Since row echelon form of single-input case and throughout lifting from quotient rings, it is in our aim to determinate feedback invariants and canonical form of a multi-input linear dynamical system over principal ideal domains.

## Acknowledgements

This research has been partially supported by INTECO-MInETur (Spain) and MinECo (Spain).

## References

- Brewer, J. W., Bunce, J. W. and Van Vleck, F. S. (1986). *Linear Systems over Commutative Rings*. Marcel-Dekker. New York.
- Brewer, J. W. and Klinger, L. (1988) Dynamic feedback over commutative rings. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **98**, pp. 137–168.
- Brewer, J. W. and Klinger, L. (2001) On feedback invariants for linear dynamical systems. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **325**, pp. 209–220.
- Brunovsky, P. A. (1970) A classification of linear controllable systems. *Kybernetika*, **3**, pp. 173–187.
- Carriegos, M. and García Planas, I. (2004) On matrix inverses modulo a subspace. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **379**, pp. 229–237.
- Carriegos, M. and Hermida-Alonso, J. A. (2003) Canonical forms for single input linear systems. *Syst. Control Lett.*, **49**, pp. 99–110.
- Carriegos, M. and Sánchez-Giralda, T. (2001) Canonical forms for linear dynamical systems over commutative rings: the local case. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **221**, pp. 113–133.
- Estes, D. and Ohm, J. (1967) Stable range in commutative rings. *J. Algebra*, **7(3)**, pp. 343–362.
- Hermida-Alonso, J. A. (2003). *Handbook of Algebra vol.3*, pp. 3–61. Elsevier Science.
- Hermida, J. A. and López-Cabeceira, M. M. (2006) Dynamic feedback over principal ideal domains and quotient rings. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **413**, pp. 235–244.
- Hermida, J. A., López-Cabeceira, M. M. Trobajo, M. T. (2005) When are dynamic and static feedback equivalent? *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **405**, pp. 74–82.
- Hermida, J. A. and Trobajo, M. T. (2003) The dynamic feedback equivalence over principal ideal domains. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **368**, pp. 197–208.
- McDonald, B. R. (1984). *Linear Algebra over Commutative Rings*. Marcel-Dekker. New York.
- Sontag, E. D. (1998). *Mathematical Control Theory - 2nd ed.* Springer-Verlag. New York.