

# Separation principle for linear quadratic control in statistically uncertain stochastic hybrid system\*

G. Miller<sup>†</sup>, A. Pankov<sup>‡</sup>

gmiller@ipiran.ru  
arpankov@bk.ru

## Abstract

We consider the minimax control problem for linear stochastic dynamic system with both continuous and discrete observations. It is assumed that the intensities of the continuous- and discrete-time noises are not known exactly. The only information available is that the noise intensities are constant and belong to a priori known compact uncertainty sets. The minimax control equations are provided in the explicit form.

## 1 Problem statement

Let a random process  $y_t \in \mathbb{R}^p$  be described by the linear stochastic differential equation:

$$dy_t = a_t y_t dt + A_t u_t dt + b_t dw_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad y_0 = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_t$ ,  $A_t$  and  $b_t$  are some known piecewise continuous matrix functions;  $u_t \in \mathbb{R}^l$  is a control process;  $w_t \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is a zero-mean random process with orthogonal increments:

$$\mathbf{E}[w_t] = 0, \quad \mathbf{cov}(w_t, w_\tau) = \gamma_w \min(t, \tau). \quad (2)$$

The intensity matrix  $\gamma_w$  is assumed to belong to some known convex compact set  $\Gamma_w$  of positively semi-definite  $r \times r$  matrices.

The continuous observation process  $\zeta_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is governed by the linear stochastic differential equation:

$$d\zeta_t = c_t y_t dt + d_t dw_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3)$$

where the matrix functions  $c_t$  and  $d_t$  are assumed to be known and piecewise continuous. It should be noted, that the same random process  $\{w_t\}$  disturbing both the equations of system state (1) and continuous observations (3) allows to consider systems with correlated state disturbances and observation noises. At the same time this does not lead to loss of generality, because the classical case of uncorrelated noise processes can be obtained assuming  $b_t = [B_t, O]$ ,  $d_t = [O, D_t]$ , and  $\gamma_w = \text{diag}(\gamma_y, \gamma_\zeta)$ .

The discrete-time observation process  $\{z_k\}$ ,  $z_k \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is defined as follows:

$$z_k = f_k y_{t_k} + \nu_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad (4)$$

where  $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N \leq t_{N+1} = T$  are given time instants,  $f_k$  are non-random known matrices, and  $\nu_k$  is a zero-mean stationary white noise uncorrelated with the process  $\{w_t\}$ :

$$\mathbf{E}[\nu_k] = 0, \quad \mathbf{cov}(\nu_k, \nu_l) = \gamma_\nu \delta_{k,l}, \quad \mathbf{cov}(\nu_k, w_t) = 0, \quad (5)$$

---

\*This work was supported by INTAS (YSF 04-83-3623) and Russian Foundation for Basic Research (05-01-00508, 05-08-17963).

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Informatics Problems RAS, 44/2, Vavilova st., Moscow, Russia 119333

<sup>‡</sup>Moscow Aviation Institute, 4 Volokolamskoe sh., GSP-3, Moscow A-80, Russia 125993

where  $\delta_{k,l} = 1$  if  $k = l$  and  $\delta_{k,l} = 0$  otherwise.

The covariance matrix  $\gamma_\nu$  is not known but assumed to belong to some given convex compact set  $\Gamma_\nu$  of positively definite  $q \times q$  matrices.

Introduce the *uncertainty set* of model (1)–(5):

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma: \gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_w, \gamma_\nu), \gamma_w \in \Gamma_w, \gamma_\nu \in \Gamma_\nu\}. \quad (6)$$

Let  $u_t$  be a linear non-anticipative control process:

$$u_t = U(Z_t) = \int_0^t g_c(t, \tau) dz_\tau + \sum_{k: t_k \leq t} g_d(t, t_k) z_k, \quad (7)$$

where  $Z_t = \{z_k, \zeta_\tau: t_k \leq t, \tau \in [0, t]\}$ ,  $U$  denotes a linear control operator, and its corresponding weight functions  $g_c(t, \tau)$  and  $g_d(t, t_k)$  are assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T \|g_c(t, \tau)\|^2 dt d\tau < \infty, \quad \int_0^T \|g_d(t, t_k)\|^2 dt < \infty, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

The whole class of non-anticipating controls that are linear with respect to the observations is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}$ .

For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  the quality of the control  $u_t$  is measured by the following mean-square criterion:

$$J(U, \gamma) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T (y_t^* Q_1(t) y_t + u_t^* Q_2(t) u_t) dt + y_T^* Q_0 y_T \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where  $Q_0$ ,  $Q_1(t)$  and  $Q_2(t)$  are known matrices and

$$Q_0 \succeq 0, \quad Q_1(t) \succeq 0, \quad Q_2(t) \succ 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

It is also assumed that  $Q_1(t)$  and  $Q_2(t)$  are piece-wise continuous.

## 2 Main results

In order to obtain an optimal control process in the considered system with a priori uncertainty in parameters description we propose to use the game-theoretic approach, i.e. to find a control process  $\hat{U}$ , that is minimax w.r.t. criteria  $J(U, \gamma)$  on  $\mathcal{U} \times \Gamma$ :

$$\hat{U} \in \underset{U \in \mathcal{U}}{\text{argmin}} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} J(U, \gamma). \quad (9)$$

It is known [1] that that the problem (9) can not be solved without some serious additional restrictions on the set  $\Gamma$ , so we introduce the dual problem: uncertainty set

$$\hat{\gamma} \in \underset{\gamma \in \Gamma}{\text{argmax}} J^0(\gamma), \quad (10)$$

where  $J^0(\gamma)$  denotes the dual criterion:

$$J^0(\gamma) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}} J(U, \gamma). \quad (11)$$

This problem is a finite-dimensional convex program and its solution exists under a natural assumption on compactness of the uncertainty set.

The main results of our research are the following.

1. The properties of the dual criterion  $J^0(\gamma)$  are studied in detail and for an arbitrary compact uncertainty set  $\Gamma$  the existence of the dual problem solution (10) is proved.

2. Using the results previously obtained for the minimax discrete-continuous filtering problem and minimax control problem for purely continuous systems [2–4] an analytical representation for the minimax control  $\hat{U}$  is obtained under natural restrictions on non-degeneracy of the observation processes:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_t &= -L_t \hat{y}_t, & L_t &= Q_2^{-1}(t) A_t^* S_t, \\ -\dot{\hat{S}}_t &= a_t^* S_t + S_t a_t + Q_1(t) - S_t A_t Q_2^{-1}(t) A_t^* S_t, & S_T &= Q_0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{y}_t$  is a recursive estimate of the system state. It should be noted, that this estimate depends on the solution to the dual problem (10),  $\hat{y}_t = \hat{y}_t(\hat{\gamma})$ .

3. It is proved that  $(\hat{U}, \hat{\gamma})$  is a saddle point of the criterion  $J(U, \gamma)$ . The guaranteed value of the criterion  $\hat{J} = J(\hat{U}, \hat{\gamma})$  is also provided.
4. A convergent iterative procedure for the dual problem solution computation is proposed. Some particular cases when the solution of (10) can be obtained analytically are also considered.
5. It is shown, that the *separation principle* [5] which holds for the classical linear stochastic control problem with mean-square criterion is violated for the minimax control problem for the general case of the uncertainty set  $\Gamma$ . In classical settings the optimal estimate  $\hat{y}_t$  used for the optimal control design does not depend on the parameters of the control quality criterion. For the case of parameter uncertainty it turns out that this key feature does not take place: the estimate depends on the solution to the dual problem  $\hat{\gamma}$ , which, in turn, depends on  $Q_0, Q_1(t), Q_2(t)$ , so any change of the optimization criterion leads to the necessity of recomputation of the estimate  $\hat{y}_t$ . Hence, unlike the case of full a priori information, the problem of control process design and the observation processing problem are not separated in the minimax case. It should be noted that for a particular case of uncertainty set  $\Gamma$  containing a maximal element  $\bar{\gamma}$  ( $\bar{\gamma} \succeq \gamma$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) the separation principle holds, since then  $\hat{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}$  and do not depend on the criterion (8).

## References

- [1] A. I. Matasov, *Estimators for uncertain dynamic systems*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publ., 1998.
- [2] G. B. Miller and A. R. Pankov, “Minimax filter for statistically indeterminate stochastic differential system,” in *Proc. 16-th IFAC World Congress*, Prague, Czech Republic, July 2005.
- [3] —, “Minimax filtering in linear stochastic uncertain discrete-continuous systems,” *Automat. Remote Control*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 413–427, 2006.
- [4] —, “Linear-quadratic stochastic optimal control problem with incomplete information and uncertain noise statistics,” in *Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control (CDC’06)*, San-Diego, USA, Dec. 2006, pp. 4381–4386.
- [5] M. H. A. Davis, *Linear Estimation and Stochastic Control*. Chapman and Hall, 1977.