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#### Abstract

A class of semi-recursive kernel type estimates of functions depending on multivariate density functionals and their derivatives is considered. The piecewise smoothed approximations of these estimates are proposed. The convergence with probability one of the estimates is proved. The main parts of the asymptotic mean square errors of the estimates are found. The examples of estimation of the production function, the marginal productivity and the marginal rate of technical substitution of inputs are given. Copyright © 2007 IFAC
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Solution of many nonparametric statistical problems (such as identification, classification, filtering, prediction, etc.) is based on estimation of certain probabilistic characteristics of the following type expressions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
J(x)= \\
=H\left(\left\{a_{i}(x)\right\},\left\{a_{i}^{(1 j)}(x)\right\}, i=\overline{1, s}, j=\overline{1, m}\right)= \\
=H\left(a(x), a^{(1 j)}(x)\right) . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $x \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, H(t): \mathbf{R}^{(m+1) s} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{1}$ is a given function,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{(0 j)}(x)=a(x)=\left(a_{1}(x), \ldots, a_{s}(x)\right), \\
a^{(1 j)}(x)=\left(a_{1}^{(1 j)}(x), \ldots, a_{s}^{(1 j)}(x)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{i}(x)=\int g_{i}(y) f(x, y) d y, \quad i=\overline{1, s}, \\
a_{i}^{(1 j)}(x)=\frac{\partial a_{i}(x)}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad i=\overline{1, s}, \quad j=\overline{1, m}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}$ are known Borel functions, $\int \equiv \int_{\mathrm{R}^{1}}, f(x, y)$ is an unknown probability density function (p.d.f.) for the observed random vector $Z=(X, Y) \in \mathrm{R}^{m+1}$.

If $g_{i}(y) \equiv 1$, then

$$
a_{i}(x)=\int f(x, y) d y=p(x)
$$

where $p(x)$ is the marginal probability density of the random variable $X$, and $f(y \mid x)=\frac{f(x, y)}{p(x)}$ is a conditional probability density.

Remark 1. Note that in (1) some variables of function $H(\cdot)$ may be absent (for example all derivatives).

Here are some well known examples of such kind of functions:

- the regression line

$$
\begin{gathered}
r(x)=\int y f(y \mid x) d y \\
\left(H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}, \quad g_{1}(y)=y, \quad g_{2}(y)=1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- the conditional initial moments

$$
\mu_{m}(x)=\int y^{m} f(y \mid x) d y
$$

$\left(H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}, g_{1}(y)=y^{m}, m \geq 1, g_{2}(y)=1\right) ;$

- the conditional variance

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(x)=\mu_{2}(x)-r^{2}(x) \\
\left(H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=a_{1} / a_{3}-\left(a_{2} / a_{3}\right)^{2}, \quad g_{1}(y)=y^{2}\right. \\
\left.g_{2}(y)=y, \quad g_{3}(y)=1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- the conditional standard deviation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(x)=\sqrt{D(x)} \\
\left(H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\sqrt{a_{1} / a_{3}-\left(a_{2} / a_{3}\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- the conditional central moments

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{m}(x)=\int(y-r(x))^{m} f(y \mid x) d y \\
\left(g_{1}(y)=y, \quad g_{2}(y)=y^{2}, \ldots\right. \\
\left.g_{m}(y)=y^{m}, \quad g_{m+1}(y)=1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- the conditional coefficient of skewness

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{1}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{E}((Y-r(x)) \mid x)^{3}}{[\mathrm{D}(Y \mid x)]^{3 / 2}}, \\
H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=\frac{b_{4}-3 b_{3} b_{2}+2 b_{2}^{3}}{\left(b_{3}-b_{2}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, \\
b_{i}=\frac{a_{i}}{a_{1}}, q_{i}(y)=y^{i-1}, i=\overline{1,4}
\end{gathered}
$$

- the sensitivity functions. For example

$$
T_{j}(x)=\frac{\partial r(x)}{\partial x_{j}} ; \quad H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{1}^{(1 j)}, a_{2}^{(1 j)}\right)=
$$

$$
=\frac{a_{1}^{(1 j)}}{a_{2}}-\frac{a_{1} a_{2}^{(1 j)}}{a_{2}^{2}}=b_{1}^{(1 j)}, g_{1}(y)=y, g_{2}(y)=1 .
$$

## 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Take the following expression as an estimate of the functional $a(x)=a^{(0 j)}(x) \quad(r=0)$ and its derivatives $a^{(1 j)}(x)(r=1)$ at a point $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{(r j)}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g\left(Y_{i}\right)}{h_{i}^{m+r}} \mathbf{K}^{(r j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\quad Z_{i}=\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right), i=\overline{1, n}, \quad$ is the $(m+1)$ dimensional random sample from p.d.f. $f(x, y)$, $\left(h_{i}\right)$ is a sequence of positive bandwidths tending to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty, \mathbf{K}^{(0 j)}(u)=\mathbf{K}(u)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} K\left(u_{i}\right)$ is a kernel $m$-dimensional multiplicative function which does not need to possess the characteristic properties of p.d.f.,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{K}^{(1 j)}(u)=\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}(u)}{\partial u_{j}}= \\
=K\left(u_{1}\right) \cdots K\left(u_{j-1}\right) K^{(1)}\left(u_{j}\right) K\left(u_{j+1}\right) \cdots K\left(u_{m}\right), \\
K^{(1)}\left(u_{j}\right)=\frac{d K\left(u_{j}\right)}{d u_{j}} \\
a_{n}^{(r j)}(x)=\left(a_{1 n}^{(r j)}(x), \ldots, a_{s n}^{(r j)}(x)\right) \\
g(y)=\left(g_{1}(y), \ldots, g_{s}(y)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that (2) can be computed recursively by

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{n}^{(r j)}(x)=a_{n-1}^{(r j)}(x)- \\
-\frac{1}{n}\left[a_{n-1}^{(r j)}(x)-\frac{g\left(Y_{n}\right)}{h_{n}^{m+r}} \mathbf{K}^{(r j)}\left(\frac{x-X_{n}}{h_{n}}\right)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

This property is particularly useful in large sample size since (3) can be easily updated with each additional observation. The recursive kernel estimate of $p(x)\left(m=1, \quad s=1, \quad g(y)=1, \quad H\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{1}\right)$ was introduced by Wolverton and Wagner (1969) and apparently independently by Yamato (1971), and has been thoroughly examined in (Wegman and Davies, 1979). Semi-recursive kernel type estimates of conditional functionals

$$
\begin{gathered}
b(x)=\left(b_{1}(x), \ldots, b_{s-1}(x)\right) \\
b_{i}(x)=a_{i}(x) / p(x)=\int g_{i}(y) f(y \mid x) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

at a point $x$ are designed as

$$
b_{n}(x)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g\left(Y_{i}\right)}{h_{i}^{m}} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{m}} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{a_{n}(x)}{p_{n}(x)}=\frac{a_{n}^{(0 j)}(x)}{a_{s n}^{(0 j)}(x)}, \quad g_{s}(x)=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The substitution estimates are often used for the estimation of ratios. The possible unboundedness of the ratio estimates at some points (see (Cramér, 1975) for details) creates a difficulty in the job. Such estimates are called semi-recursive because they can be updated sequentially by adding extra terms to both the numerator and denominator when new observations became available. If $g_{1}(y)=y(s=2)$ we obtain semi-recursive kernel type estimates of the regression line (see (Ahmad and Lin, 1976; Buldakov and Koshkin, 1977; Devroye and Wagner, 1980)). Weak and strong universal consistency of such estimates was investigated in (Krzyźak, and Pawlak, 1984; Greblicki, and Pawlak, 1987; Krzyźak, 1992; Györfi, et al., 1998; Walk, 2001). For estimation of (1) we are going to use the following statistic (the substitution estimate)

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(x)=H\left(\left\{a_{n}^{(r j)}(x)\right\}, \quad j=\overline{1, m}, \quad r=0,1\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the studying of the MSE for $J_{n}(x)$ has some difficulties due to the possible instability (for example, the denominator in (4) may be close to zero), and the theorems for MSE making use of the dominant sequences can not be applied (Cramér, 1975; Koshkin, 1999). The problem can be resolved by using a piecewise smooth approximation. Therefore, similar to (Penskaya, 1990; Koshkin, 1999) we use the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{J}_{n, \nu}(x)=\frac{J_{n}(x)}{\left(1+\delta_{n, \nu}\left|J_{n}(x)\right|^{\tau}\right)^{\rho}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau>0, \quad \rho>0, \quad \rho \tau \geq 1, \quad\left(\delta_{n}\right) \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. MEAN SQUARE ERRORS

Denote by $\sup _{x}=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{R}^{m}}, \quad T_{j}=\int u^{j} K(u) d u$, $j=1,2, \ldots$.

Definition 1. A function $H(z): \mathrm{R}^{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{1}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}(t)\left(H(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}(t)\right)$ if it is continuously differentiable up to the order $\nu$ at the point $t \in \mathrm{R}^{s}$. A function $H(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}(\mathrm{R})$ if it is continuously differentiable up to the order $\nu$ for any $z \in \mathrm{R}^{s}$.

Definition 2. A Borel function $K(u) \in \mathcal{A}^{(r)}$, $r=0,1 \quad\left(\mathcal{A}^{(0)}=\mathcal{A}\right) \quad$ if $\int\left|K^{(r)}(u)\right| d u<\infty$, and $\int K(u) d u=1$.

Definition 3. A Borel function $K(u)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(r)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(0)}=A_{\nu}\right)$ if $K(u) \in \mathcal{A}^{(r)}$, $\int_{T \nu}\left|u^{\nu} K(u)\right| d u<\infty, T_{j}=0, j=1, \ldots, \nu-1$, $T_{\nu} \neq 0$, and $K(u)=K(-u)$.

Definition 4. A sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)$ belongs to the class $\overline{\mathcal{H}(m+r+q)}$ if

$$
\left(h_{n}+1 /\left(n h_{n}^{m+r+q}\right)\right) \downarrow 0,
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\lambda}=S_{\lambda} h_{n}^{\lambda}+o\left(h_{n}^{\lambda}\right)
$$

where $\lambda$ is a real number, $S_{\lambda}$ is some constant independent on $n$.

Definition 5. Let $t_{n}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are vectors, and $t_{n}=t_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$. A sequence of functions $\left\{H\left(t_{n}\right)\right\}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ if for any possible values $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ the sequence $\left\{\left|H\left(t_{n}\right)\right|\right\}$ is dominated by a sequence of numbers $\left(C_{0} d_{n}^{\gamma}\right)$, $\left(d_{n}\right) \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, 0 \leq \gamma<\infty, C_{0}$ is a constant.

Put

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=A(x)=\left(\left\{a^{(r j)}(x)\right\}, r \in[0,1], j=\overline{1, m}\right)  \tag{7}\\
H\left(\left\{a_{n}^{(r j)}(x)\right\}, \quad r \in[0,1] j=\overline{1, m}\right)=H\left(A_{n}\right) \\
a_{t j r}=\partial H(A) / \partial a_{t}^{(r j)} ; \\
a_{t, p}^{1+}(x)=\int g_{t}(y) g_{p}(y) f(x, y) d y \\
\int\left|g_{t}(y) g_{p}(y)\right| f(x, y) d y, \quad t, p=\overline{1, s} \\
a^{s+}(x)=\int\left|g^{s}(y)\right| f(x, y) d y
\end{gather*}
$$

for $r, q=0,1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
L^{(r, q)}=\int K^{(r)}(u) K^{(q)}(u) d u \\
\mathcal{B}_{t, p}^{(r, q)}=L^{(r, q)}\left(L^{(0,0)}\right)^{m-1} a_{t, p}(x) ; \\
\omega_{i \nu}^{(r j)}(x)=\frac{T_{\nu}}{\nu!} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{\partial^{\nu} a_{i}^{(r j)}(x)}{\partial x_{l}^{\nu}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 2. Note that in (7) the notation $r \in[0,1]$ means $r=0$ or $r=1$, or $r=0,1$. For instance for the last example in Introduction $r=0,1$ and for the rest examples $r=0$.

Let the set

$$
Q=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\{0\} & \text { if } \forall j r=0 ; \\
\{1\} & \text { if } \forall j r=1 ; \\
\{0,1\} & \text { if } \exists j r=0 \bigwedge r=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

in formula (7).
Theorem 1 (MSE of the estimate $\left.J_{n}(x)\right)$.
Let $t, p=\overline{1, s}, j=\overline{1, m}, r \in Q$,

1) functions $a_{t, p}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}(\mathrm{R})$;
2) $\sup _{x} a_{t, p}^{1+}(x)<\infty, \sup _{x} a_{t}^{1+}(x)<\infty$, $\sup _{x} a_{t}^{4+}(x)<\infty$;
3) the kernel function $K(u) \in \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{(\max (r))}$, $\sup _{x}\left|K^{(r)}(x)\right|<\infty, \quad K^{(r)}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}(\mathrm{R})$ if the integer $r$ takes both the value 0 and the value 1 (i.e. $Q=\{0,1\}), \quad \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} K(u)=0$ if $1 \in Q$;
4) $a_{t}^{(r j)}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}(\mathrm{R}), \sup _{x}\left|a_{t}^{(r j)}(x)\right|<\infty$,
$\sup _{x}\left|\frac{\partial^{\nu} a_{t}^{(r j)}(x)}{\partial x_{l} \partial x_{t} \ldots \partial x_{q}}\right|<\infty, \quad l, t, \ldots, q=\overline{1, m} ;$
5) the sequence $\left(h_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}(m+2 \max (r))$.

Moreover, if
6) $H(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{2}(A)$;
7) $\left\{H\left(A_{n}\right)\right\} \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 / 4$,
then MSE $u^{2}\left(J_{n}(x)\right)$ of the estimate (1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{u}^{2}\left(J_{n}(x)\right)=\sum_{t, p=1}^{s} \sum_{j, k=1}^{m} \sum_{r, q \in Q} H_{t j r} H_{p k q} \times \\
\times\left[S_{-(m+2 \max (r, q))} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{t, p}^{(r, q)}}{n h_{n}^{m+r+q}}+\right. \\
\left.+S_{\nu}^{2} \omega_{t \nu}^{(r j)}(x) \omega_{p \nu}^{(q k)}(x) h_{n}^{2 \nu}\right]+ \\
+O\left(\left[\frac{1}{n h_{n}^{m+2 \max (r)}}+h_{n}^{2 \nu}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

As above mentioned restriction 7) of Theorem 1 is the most problematical and we don't need one when piecewise smooth approximation (6) is used.

Theorem 2 (MSE of the piecewise smooth approximation estimate $\left.\widetilde{J}_{n, \nu}(x)\right)$. Suppose that conditions 1)-6) of Theorem 1 hold and restriction 7) is replaced by
$\left.7^{*}\right) J(x)=H(A(x)) \neq 0$ or $\tau \geq 4$,
$\tau$ is a positive integer. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\mathrm{u}^{2}\left(\widetilde{J}_{n}(x)\right) \sim \mathrm{u}^{2}\left(J_{n}(x)\right)
$$

## 4. ALMOST SURELY CONVERGENCE

Theorem 3. Suppose the conditions of Theorem 1 (or Theorem 2) hold, and, moreover,

$$
\int\left|K^{(r)}(u)\right| d u<\infty
$$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n^{-2} h_{n}^{-2(m+2 \max (r))}+h_{n}^{4 \nu}\right)<\infty .
$$

Then the sequence of estimates $\left\{J_{n}(x)\right\}$ (or $\left.\left\{\widetilde{J}_{n}(x)\right\}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ converges almost surely to $J(x)$.

## 5. ESTIMATION OF PRODUCTION FUNCTION AND ITS CHARACTERISTICS

Example 1. Let $r(x), x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{R}^{2}$ be a regression model of production function, $a(x)=\left(a_{1}(x), a_{2}(x)\right), \quad a_{1}(x)=\int y f(x, y) d y$, $a_{2}(x)=\int f(x, y) d y=p(x)$. Here $x_{1}>0$ is a capital input, $x_{2}>0$ is a labor input, $y>0$ is a product, and $f(x, y)>0$ only if $x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0$, $y>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n}(x)=r_{n}(x)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Y_{i}}{h_{i}^{2}} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{2}} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}= \\
=\frac{a_{1 n}^{(0 j)}(x)}{a_{2 n}^{(0 j)}(x)}=\frac{a_{1 n}(x)}{p_{n}(x)} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\quad \mathbf{K}(u)=K\left(u_{1}\right) K\left(u_{2}\right), \quad K(u) \in \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$, and $\left(h_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}(m)$. To find the MSE of the estimate $r_{n}(x)$, we use Theorem 1. In view of 1)-4) conditions of the theorem we have: functions $a_{i}(x)$, $i=1,2$, and their derivatives are continuously differentiable up to the order $\nu$ for any $z \in \mathrm{R}^{2}$, and the function $\int y^{4} f(x, y) d y$ is bounded on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$. Also $\sup _{u \in \mathrm{R}^{1}}|K(u)|<\infty$. If $p(x)>0$, then condition 6) is fulfilled obviously. We can not find the dominant sequence $\left(d_{n}\right)$ (condition 7)) of Theorem 1, since the denominator in (8) may be equal to zero. Therefore it seems impossible to determine the MSE of the estimation $r_{n}(x), \nu>2$ (Nadaraya, 1964; Nadaraya, 1965; Nadaraya, 1983; Collomb, 1976). But it is shown in these papers that we can find the dominant sequence with $\gamma=0$ under $\nu=2$ according to Definition 5 if, for example, $K(u) \geq 0$, and $Y<\infty$. In this case as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{u}^{2}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)=\sum_{i, p=1}^{2} H_{i} H_{p}\left(S_{-2} \frac{C B_{i, p}}{n h_{n}^{2}}+\right. \\
\left.+S_{2}^{2} \omega_{i 2}(x) \omega_{p 2}(x) h_{n}^{4}\right)+O\left(\left[\frac{1}{n h_{n}^{2}}+h_{n}^{4}\right]^{3 / 2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where
$H_{1}=\frac{1}{p(x)}, \quad H_{2}=-\frac{r(x)}{p(x)} ; \quad B_{1,1}=\int y^{2} f(x, y) d y$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1,2}=B_{2,1}=\int y f(x, y) d y, \quad B_{2,2}=p(x) \\
\omega_{12}(x)=\frac{T_{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} a_{1}(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} a_{1}(x)}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) \\
\omega_{22}(x)=\frac{T_{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} p(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} p(x)}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) \\
C=\int K^{2}(u) d u .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\nu>2$ we can use the piecewise smooth approximation $\widetilde{r}_{n}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{r}_{n}(x)=\frac{r_{n}(x)}{\left(1+\delta_{n, \nu}\left|r_{n}(x)\right|^{\tau}\right)^{\rho}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau>0, \quad \rho>0, \quad \rho \tau \geq 1, \quad \delta_{n, \nu}=O\left(h_{n}^{2 \nu}+\right.$ $\left.+1 /\left(n h_{n}^{2}\right)\right),\left(\delta_{n, \nu}\right) \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In view of condition 7) of Theorem 2 it is enough to take even $\tau \geq 4$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{u}^{2}\left(\widetilde{r}_{n}(x)\right)=\sum_{i, p=1}^{2} H_{i} H_{p}\left(S_{-2} \frac{C B_{i, p}}{n h_{n}^{2}}+\right. \\
\left.+S_{\nu}^{2} \omega_{i \nu}(x) \omega_{p \nu}(x) h_{n}^{2 \nu}\right)+O\left(\left[\frac{1}{n h_{n}^{2}}+h_{n}^{2 \nu}\right]^{3 / 2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1 \nu}(x)=\frac{T_{\nu}}{\nu!}\left(\frac{\partial^{\nu} a_{1}(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{\nu}}+\frac{\partial^{\nu} a_{1}(x)}{\partial x_{2}^{\nu}}\right) \\
& \omega_{2 \nu}(x)=\frac{T_{\nu}}{\nu!}\left(\frac{\partial^{\nu} p(x)}{\partial x_{1}^{\nu}}+\frac{\partial^{\nu} p(x)}{\partial x_{2}^{\nu}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2. In the case of the marginal productivity function $T_{1}(x)=\frac{\partial r(x)}{\partial x_{1}}$ a dominant sequence finding difficulties force us to use the piecewise smooth approximation $\widetilde{T}_{1 n}(x)$ at once:

$$
\widetilde{T}_{1 n}(x)=\frac{T_{1 n}(x)}{\left(1+\delta_{n}\left|T_{1 n}(x)\right|^{\tau}\right)^{\rho}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1 n}(x)=\frac{1}{h_{i}}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \mathbf{K}^{(11)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}-\right. \\
\left.-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}^{(11)}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right]^{2}}\right] \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathbf{K}^{(11)}(u)=K^{(1)}\left(u_{1}\right) K\left(u_{2}\right)$. The kernel $K(u)$ has to satisfy the complementary conditions (added to Example 1 restrictions): $\sup _{u \in \mathrm{R}^{1}}\left|K^{(1)}(u)\right|<\infty$, $\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} K(u)=0, \quad K^{(\alpha)}(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{0}(\mathrm{R}), \quad \alpha=1,2 ;$ furthermore, the sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)$ has to satisfy the condition $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(h_{n}+\left(n h_{n}^{3}\right)^{-1}\right)=0$. To use Theorem 2 result and obtain $u^{2}\left(\widetilde{T}_{1 n}(x)\right)$ functions $a_{1}(x), \quad a_{2}(x)$ and their derivatives up to the order $(\nu+1)$ need to be continuous and bounded on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$.

Example 3. Let $T_{j}(x)=\partial r(x) / \partial x_{j}$ and

$$
M R T S_{12, n}(x)=T_{1 n}(x) / T_{2 n}(x)
$$

be the estimate of the marginal rate of technical substitution of an input $x_{2}$ with an input $x_{1}$, where the denominator $T_{2 n}(x)$ is given by (10), where $\mathbf{K}^{(11)}(u)$ is replaced by

$$
\mathbf{K}^{(12)}(u)=K\left(u_{1}\right) K^{(1)}\left(u_{2}\right)
$$

The piecewise smooth approximation of the estimate $M R T S_{12, n}(x)$ can be written easily. In view of condition 6 ) of Theorem 1 the inequality $r(x) \neq \frac{\partial a_{1}(x)}{\partial x_{2}} / \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x_{2}}$ have to hold in addition to Example 2 restrictions.
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