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Abstract: The problem is considered of transmission object position estimates using band limited communication channels. Due to advances in technology, it is feasible to have a tracking filter attached to the sensor, and transmit only the condensed information as a tradeoff between computation and communication requirements. This paper proposes a scheme, which minimizes transmission data rate by sending adaptively encrypted innovations. It is assumed that the second derivative of the object position is bounded above by the known constant, and the sample rate is the design parameter. Adaptive tuning procedure for the coder range parameter is proposed and numerically studied.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A fast growth of interest to the problem of estimation and control under information constraints has been observed within the control community during the last decade. A number of research groups are studying limit possibilities of control under such constraints (Nair and Evans, 2003; Nair and Evans, 2004; Matveev and Savkin, 2004) and others. The requirement of finite data rate demands the use of coders (quantizers) in the control loop which makes the system hybrid and makes its analysis more complex (Liberzon, 2003). The known results are close to complete for the equilibrium stabilization problem. However, they leave open similar questions for many other important problems. If the desired state vector of the system is time-varying (e.g. for tracking problems) no tight bounds for transmission rate ensuring convergence of the error to zero are known.

In a number of engineering applications (e.g. in distributed sensor networks, or remote surveillance systems), there is no possibility to mount advanced measurement/estimation devices on the transmitter (target) side (Liberzon, 2003; Nair and Evans, 2003; Matveev and Savkin, 2004; La Scala and Evans, 2005; Evans et al., 2005; Malavej et al., 2006). In these cases only measurements of some scalar output variable of the transmitter system are available. Such a problem was studied in (Fradkov et al., 2006), where results on observer-based synchronization of chaotic systems, represented in the Lurie form are given, and optimality of the binary coding for coders with one-step memory is established. In the present paper the results of (Mušicki et al., 2006) are expanded to adaptive coding.

2. CODING PROCEDURES

The following time-varying coder with memory is used in the present work for observations transmission over the communication channel. This procedure is based on the results of (Nair and Evans, 2003; Brockett and Liberzon, 2000; Liberzon, 2003; Fradkov et al., 2006).

2.1 Primitive coder

Let $y$ be an observation signal to be transmitted over the channel. A uniform scaled coder function $q_{\nu,M}(y)$ is defined as

$$q_{\nu,M}(y) = \begin{cases} \delta \cdot \langle \delta^{-1}y \rangle, & \text{if } |y| \leq M, \\ M \text{ sign}(y), & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes round-up to the nearest integer function, $\text{sign}(\cdot)$ is the signum function; $M$ is the coder range parameter; $\delta = 2^{1-\nu}M$ is length of the discretization...
interval. The range interval $I$ of length $2M$ is defined as $I = [-M, M]$. Evidently, $|y - q_{o,M}(y)| \leq \delta/2$ for all $y$ such that $y : |y| \leq M + \delta/2$ and all values of $q_{o,M}(y)$ belong to the range interval $I$. Notice that the interval $I$ is equally split into $2^n$ parts. Therefore, the cardinality of the mapping $q_{o,M}$ image is equal to $2^n + 1$, and each codeword symbol contains $R = \log_2(2^n + 1) = \log_2(2M/\delta + 1)$ bits of information. Thus, the discretized output of the considered coder is found as $\bar{y} = q_{o,M}(y)$. Measurements of the plant output and codeword transmission of the over the channel are produced with the given constant sampling period $T_s$. It is assumed that the coder and decoder make decisions based on the same information.

2.2 Time-varying coder with memory

The zooming strategy with a time-varying quantizer (with different values of $M$ for each instant, $M = M_k$) is applied. Zooming is effective for coders with memory (Liberzon, 2003). To describe this kind of coders, introduce the sequence of central numbers $c_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ with the initial condition $c_0 = 0$. At the step $k$, the coder compares the current measured output $y_k$ with the number $c_k$, forming the deviation signal $\partial y_k = y_k - vc_k$. Then this signal is discretized with a given $\nu$ and $M = M_k$ according to (1). The output signal

$$\partial y_k = q_{o,M_k}(\partial y_k)$$

is transmitted over the communication channel to the receiver. Then the central number $c_{k+1}$ and the range parameter $M_k$ are renewed based on the available information about the driving system dynamics. The following update algorithm is used in one-step memory coders:

$$c_{k+1} = c_k + \partial y_k, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots$$

Optimization of coders w.r.t. the bit-per-second rate $R = R/T_s$ is considered in (Fradkov et al., 2006). It is shown that the binary coding scheme gives the optimal transmission rate $R = 1/T_s$ band, which yields $M = \Delta/2$ as an optimal value for $M$, where $\Delta = \sup \{\partial y(t)\}$ is the upper bound of the transmission error. Therefore, the signum function is an optimal one for coder, and (1) turns to

$$q_{o,M_k}(y) = M_k \text{ sign } y.$$  \hfill (4)

It gives the coder output as $\partial y_k = M_k \text{ sign } (\partial y_k)$.

At the initial stage of the system evolution the error $|\partial y|$ may exceed the bound $\Delta$, because the initial value $y(0)$ is not known. This initiated the transient mode of the system behavior. The zooming strategy is efficient at this stage. The values of $M_k$ may be precomputed (the time-based zooming), or, alternatively, current quantized measurements may be used at each step to update $M_k$ (the event-based zooming).

2.3 Adaptive coder

To start, consider the following simple time-based zooming procedure of (Fradkov et al., 2006):

$$M_k = (M_0 - M_{\min}) \rho^k + M_{\min}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots$$

where $0 < \rho \leq 1$ is the decay parameter, $M_{\min}$ stands for the limit (minimal) value of $M_k$. The initial value $M_0$ should be large enough to capture all the region of possible initial values of $y_0$.

If parameter $M_{\min}$ is mistakenly chosen too small, the data transmission process by means of the described procedure may failed due to the coder saturation. In the present work, the time-based zooming procedure with the event-based correction is proposed: if the coder is not saturated, the quantizer range $M$ is exponentially decreased; if the coder saturation appears, the quantizer range $M$ is increased. Such an adaptive coding makes it possible to maintain the minimal discretization interval $\delta$ and, therefore, minimize transmission errors. At the same time, this prevents fail in tracking the signal $y_k$ due to the saturation.

The proposed method for tuning the quantizer range $M$ is described by the following recurrent algorithm:

$$\lambda_k = (\partial y_k + \partial y_{k-1})/2,$$

$$M_k = m + \begin{cases} \rho M_{k-1}, & \text{if } |\lambda_k| \leq 0.5 \\ M_{k-1}/\rho, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \hfill (6)$$

where $0 < \rho \leq 1$ is the decay parameter, $m = (1 - \rho) M_{\min}$. $M_{\min}$ assigns the minimal possible value for $M_k$. The initial value $M_0$ should be large enough in comparison with possible $y_0$. The procedure (6) leads to time-based decreasing of $M_k$ while signs of the successive values of $\partial y_k$ alternate. The sort of discrete-time sliding-mode tracking the plant output appears in that case, and $M_k$ recursively tends to the limiting value $M_{\min}$. When the moving average of the transmission error exceeds the threshold, the second alternative of algorithm (6) is realized, and the quantizer range $M_k$ increases.

The equations (1), (2), (6) describe the coder algorithm. The same algorithm is realized by the decoder. Namely, the decoder calculates the variables $\bar{y}_k, M_k$ based on received codeword flow similarly to $c_k, M_k$.

2.4 Time-varying coder of full order

The sequence of the central numbers $\{c_k\}$ defined by (3) is produced by one-step memory coder, corresponding zero-order extrapolation of the measured plant output. This coder ensures asymptotically exact transmission of the sensor observations if the plant model is an unperturbed integrator, no sensor errors present and the channel is ideal (Wong and Brockett, 1997; Nair and Evans, 1997; Matveev and Savkin, 2004; Malyavej and Savkin, 2005). Let us turn to the full-order coder, which may be used for asymptotically exact transmission of the sensor observations for more common class of plant models. Namely, consider the following LTI discrete-time plant model

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + E \varphi_k, \quad y_k = Cx_k, \hfill (7)$$

where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the plant state vector, $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the external input signal, applied to the plant, $y_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is the scalar plant output, measured by the sensor, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. The matrices $A, B, C, E$ and the signal $u_k$ are assumed to be known both at the transmitter and the receiver nodes, the sensor output $y_k$ is subjected to coding/decoding procedure to be transmitted over the communication channel with limited capacity. The external disturbance vector $\varphi_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an irregular process, unmeasured by the sensor. The pair $(A, C)$ is assumed to be observable. As above, we neglect the sensor or channel errors and the plant model (7) imperfection.
Consider the following full-order (Kalman) observer for the plant (7)
\[ \dot{x}_{k+1} = A\dot{x}_k + B u_k + L_k \hat{y}_k, \quad \hat{y}_k = C \dot{x}_k, \]
where the error signal \( \hat{y}_k \) is defined by (2), where \( \hat{y}_k = y_k - \hat{y}_k \), \( L_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \) is the observer matrix gain (column vector), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \). It should be noticed that the coding procedure (2), (3) may be considered as a particular case of the observer-based coding procedure (8), where \( A = B = L = 0, n = 1 \). Also notice that for the considered data transmission scheme, the observer output \( \hat{y}_k \) plays a role of the central number \( c_k \). Matrix \( L_k \) is to be found at the stage of the observer design. The least-square optimal solution for \( L_k \) may be found as precomputed Kalman gain sequence. In the present work, the constant matrix values of (8), (6) is reproduced at the receiver node based on the algorithm (6) may be applied. The observation algorithm (7) is defined by (2), where \( \hat{y}_k = y_k - \hat{y}_k \) is bounded, \( |\hat{y}_k| \leq M_k + \delta_k/2 \) for all \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( \delta_k = 2^{1-v} M_k \) (see Sec. 2.1). Under this assumption we may represent the signal \( \hat{y}_k \) in (8) as \( \hat{y}_k = \delta_k \hat{x}_k \). The observer error signal \( \hat{y}_k \) is bounded "coding error",
\[ |\hat{x}_k| \leq \delta_k/2 = M_k/2^v. \]
(10) Particularly, for the binary coder (4) it is valid that \( |\hat{x}_k| \leq M_k \). Inequality (10) makes it possible to find the upper bound of the state estimation error \( \max |e_k| \) and, consequently, to find the upper bound of the output transmission error \( \max |\hat{y}_k| \), applying the \( H_\infty \)-norm technique.

Under the assumption that \( |\phi| \leq \delta \), we may use the same approach for evaluation the upper bound of the errors affected by the external disturbance \( \phi_k \).

It should be noticed that the adaptive quantizer with a memoryless (static) coder was considered in (Goodman and Gersho, 1974). A more general nth order observer-based coding procedure was proposed in (Andrievesky et al., 2007), where application to pitch motion control of the Helicopter laboratory set-up was presented. Note also that the adaptive coding for a special case of first-order system is analyzed in (Gomez-Estern et al., 2007).

3. REMOTE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM WITH BIT-RATE CONstrained COMMUNICATION CHANNEL

3.1 Problem of target position transmission with data-rate limitations

Based on the papers (Sciaccia and Evans, 2002; La Scala and Evans, 2005; Evans et al., 2005; Malyavej et al., 2006; Musicki et al., 2006), consider the remote surveillance system having several sensors connected to a multiplexer, which in turn is connected via a limited and/or time-varying data rate communication channel to a fusion centre, where target tracking based on observations provided by the sensors is performed (Sciaccia and Evans, 2002; Evans et al., 2005). In the case of limited channel data rate, the problem arises of transmission the position information from all sensors without loss to the fusion centre. To simplify the exposition, we assume at the sequel that the channel capacity is divided between the different sensors, and consider a simple sensor supplying information within the data rate limit. More definitively, we consider the object tracking problem, assuming that the observation signal \( y(t) \) (the object coordinates in the given reference frame) is coded with symbols from a finite alphabet at discrete time instants \( t_k = kT_s \), where \( T_s \) is the sampling period, \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \). Coded symbol is transmitted over a digital communication channel with a finite capacity. Observation noise, transmission delays, and transmission channel distortions are neglected. Assume, that coded symbols are available at the receiver side at the same sampling instant \( t_k \), as they are generated by the coder.

The problem is to find coder/decoder pair algorithm, minimizing the data flow over the channel without loss of the target position information.

3.2 Target Model

Consider the case of tracking a target moving with near constant ground speed \( V \) in one dimension of the horizontal plane with measurements of position by means of the remote sensor with the sampling period \( T_s \). Target position should be transmitted over the communication channel to the fusion centre. The sensor errors, communication time delay and channel drop outs are neglected. The target lateral acceleration \( a(t) \) is assumed to be bounded irregular process, \( |a(t)| \leq \bar{a} \) for all \( t \). No additional information concerning the target acceleration is available. A state-space model for such a scenario is given by (7), where \( B = 0, u_k = 0, \varphi = \bar{a} \).

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T_s \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [1, 0], \quad E = T_s \begin{bmatrix} T_s/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)
\]

3.3 Coder design

The coder (2), (5) (or (6)), (8) design parameters are: digit capacity \( \nu \); sampling period \( T_s \); range parameters \( M_0, M_\infty, \rho \); observer matrix gain \( L_k \). At the sequel the binary coder (4) and the constant matrix \( L_k \equiv L \) are taken.

The matrix \( L \) was found at the steady-state mode \( (M_k \equiv M) \) as a solution to the following constrained optimization problem:

(1) the eigenvalues \( z_{1,2} \) of the matrix \( A_L = A - LC \) should be bounded, \( |z_{1,2}| \leq \exp(-\gamma T_s) \), where \( \gamma > 0 \) is the given stability margin setting the lower bound of the observer convergence rate;

(2) total output transmission error \( Q_y = \max |\hat{y}_k| \), caused by the bounded coding error \( \phi_k \), \( |\phi_k| \leq \bar{M} \), and

A problem of switching the channel between the sensors (a sensor selection problem) is studied thoughtfully in (Evans et al., 2005).
bounded external disturbance \( \varphi_k \), \( |\varphi_k| \leq \bar{a} \), should be minimized;

(3) condition \( Q_y \leq M \) should be fulfilled.

To find the upper bound \( Q_y \) of the output transmission error (see cond. 2), the \( H_\infty \)-norm of the systems \( S_1 = \{ A_L, L, C \} \) and \( S_1 = \{ A_L, E, C \} \) were calculated. Fulfillment of the condition 3 was checked after optimization and only feasible solutions were selected.

3.4 Numerical example

Let \( \bar{a} = 10 \text{ m/s}^2 \), \( \eta = -1 \) (see cond. 1). Consider the steady-state mode, \( M_k \equiv M \), and pick up the pairs \( (T_s, M) \in [0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1.0] \times [0.5, 2.5, 10, 20] \). Resulted output transmission error \( Q_y \) as a function of the sampling period \( T_s \) for different \( M \) is depicted in Fig. 1. It is seen that the problem is infeasible for \( M = 0.5 \text{ m} \) if the sampling period exceeds 0.1 s. This means that the data transmission rate \( R = 1/T_s \) should not be less than 10 baud to ensure the upper bound of position transmission error \( Q_y = 1 \text{ m} \). If \( T_s = 1 \text{ s} \ (R = 1 \text{ baud}) \), \( M = 17 \text{ m} \) may be taken, which gives the guaranteed upper bound \( Q_y \approx 34 \text{ m} \). The surface plot for tracking error versus \( R \) and \( M \) is shown in Fig. 2.

For simulation, consider the following target motion scenarios. Let the target moves at the horizontal plane, its position data are described in some Earth reference frame \( (O_g, X_g, Y_g) \). Target groundspeed is \( V = 270 \text{ m/s} \). The sensor is located at the origin \( O_g \). The first scenario assumes that the target has the constant course angle \( \Psi = -2.5 \text{ rad} \). According to the second scenario, the target turns to \( \Psi = -3.45 \text{ rad} \) starting from the point of time \( t_{\text{turn}} = 60 \text{ s} \). Course angular velocity \( \dot{\Psi} \) is bounded, \( |\dot{\Psi}| \leq 0.037 \text{ rad/s} \), which limits the target lateral acceleration \( a_l \); \( |a_l| \leq 10 \text{ m/s}^2 \).

Two identical channels of target tracking, along \( O_gX_g \) and \( O_gY_g \) axes is considered. The sampling period is taken as \( T_s = 1 \text{ s} \).

Examination of data transmission with time-based zooming. Examine the data transmission system with time-based zooming (5), straight-line motion.

Examination of data transmission with adaptive zooming. Examine now the target position transfer algorithm with the adaptive coder (6). Take \( M_{\text{min}} = 0.5 \), \( \rho = 0.5 \); the other parameters remain the same as in Sec. 3.4.1.
The simulations show that the upper bound of the steady-state error $\lim_{t \to \infty} D(t) \approx 0.4$ m, the 5%-zone transient time $t_{0.05}$ is $t_{0.05} = 20$ s (i.e. 20 samples), the 1%-zone transient time $t_{0.01} = 33$ s (33 samples). These results are significantly better than those for coder with time-based zooming (5). Some simulation results are plotted in Figs. 9–13.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the paper a communication scheme minimizing transmission data rate over bandwidth limited noiseless communication channel by sending encrypted innovations is proposed. The observer-based full-order adaptive coding procedure of (Andrievsky et al., 2007) is applied for target position transmission with data-rate limitations. The simulation results demonstrate efficiency of the proposed method.

REFERENCES

Fig. 10. Target tracking. $O_Y$ vs $a_Y$ axis projection. Adaptive zooming (6), straight-line motion.

Fig. 11. Distance error (logarithmic scale). Adaptive zooming (6). 1 – straight-line motion, 2 – motion with turn.

Fig. 12. Target tracking. $O_X$ vs $a_X$ axis projection. Adaptive zooming (6), motion with turn.


