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Abstract: This paper presents an adaptive control experiment by piezoelectric actuator to cancel the vibration from a flexible arm. In real systems with external disturbances, the vibration effect due to the inherent elastic deformation of flexible arm makes the satisfactory control results can not be obtained. To reduce the vibration effect, based on an external disturbance model with consideration of dynamics of the piezoelectric actuator, adaptive vibration control experimental system designed, where the controller is based on Youla-Kucera parametrization and right coprime factorization. As a practical appeal, experimental results are shown to support the proposal on the control system design.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since piezoelectric material actuator is light weight and high operational speed, the use of this kind of actuator has been paid attention. Also, since the piezoelectric actuator can be bonded or embedded along a robot arm easily, in robotic research approach, many researches have been undertaken. As a result, better control performance can be obtained. Concerning with the selection of a piezoelectric actuator, it is useful to know how the physical parameters of the actuator can affect control performance (Dadfarnia, et al., 2003). That is, the dynamics of the actuator needs to be considered. In this paper, piezoelectric actuator based adaptive vibration control of flexible arm is considered, where the arm is modeled from the flexible arm used for wafer conveyance in semiconductor manufacture process. The importance of control of the arm is summarized as follows. Since the semiconductor wafer under conveyance is damaged by vibration of the flexible arm, the vibration control is a very interesting subject (Tomoda et al., 2001). The vibration of the arm tip can be considered as periodic disturbance, in order to remove the influence of the periodic disturbance, a disturbance model needs to be included in a control system by the internal model principle. However, the disturbance model contains unknown parameter, such as frequency, so it is difficult to apply conventional control techniques, e.g. PID control, to the disturbance. In this paper, an adaptive vibration control experimental system is designed, where the disturbance removal compensator in which a disturbance model is included as an internal model is designed using Youla-Kucera parametrization and right coprime factorization (Inoue et al., 2004). Further, the piezoelectric element as an actuator is employed. As a practical appeal, experimental result is shown in order to verify the validity of the designed control system.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, experimental system is introduced, and
Fig. 1. The experimental system actuated by a piezoelectric actuator preliminary of this paper is considered. The design of adaptive vibration control system is given in Section 3. In Section 4, the results of experiment are given.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM AND PROBLEM SETUP

The experimental system (see Fig.1) has roughly three parts: 1) Flexible arm; 2) Interface; 3) Computer. The flexible arm part consists of a arm \((500(\text{mm}) \times 20(\text{mm}) \times 3(\text{mm}))\), a piezoelectric actuator \((50(\text{mm}) \times 20(\text{mm}) \times 0.2(\text{mm}))\) bonded at the end part of the arm, an amplifier linking with the actuator, and a laser sensor for measuring the vibrating displacement of the arm. The interface part consists of A/D, D/A and Buffer boards. Computer (Pentium 4, 2.8GHz, 512MB, Windows XP) demands to process an adaptive control by using the controller will be given in Section 3, where the software is Visual C++. The schema of the experimental system is shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 3, a control input is a moment \(M_p(t)\) generated with input voltage \(V(t)\) is described by (3).

\[
M_p(t) = M_{p0} \cdot V(t) \tag{3}
\]

where \(M_{p0}\) is a constant decided by the characteristic of the arm and the piezoelectric element.

\[
M_{p0} = -\frac{1}{2}bEpd_{31}(t_b + t_p) \tag{4}
\]

\(b\) : Width of piezoelectric element  
\(d_{31}\) : Piezoelectric charge constant  
\(t_p\) : Thickness of piezoelectric element  
\(t_b\) : Thickness of arm  
\(E_p\) : Youngs modulus of piezoelectric element

The dynamics of the arm is described by partial differential equations.

\[
\rho S \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ EI \left(1 + C \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \right] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [M_p \{H(x - l_1) - H(x - l_2)\}] \tag{5}
\]
where $H(\cdot)$ is Heaviside function and others are defined below.

$$l : \text{Length of arm}$$

$$l_1, l_2 : \text{Attachment position of piezoelectric element}$$

$$p : \text{Density of arm}$$

$$S : \text{Cross-section area of arm}$$

$$E : \text{Youngs modulus of arm}$$

$$I : \text{Moment of inertia of area}$$

$$C : \text{Damping modulus}$$

The detailed calculation is shown in Appendix (Inoue et al., 2004).

### 3.2 Adaptive vibration controller design

The arm dynamics considered in this paper is

$$y(l,t) = T(s)\{M_p(t) + M_d(t)\} \quad (6)$$

where $M_p(t)$ is the control input,

$$T(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\omega_m(l)}{s^2 + k_m^2Cs + k_m^2} \right) \quad (7)$$

where $M_d(t)$ is a virtual disturbance which causes the vibration and unknown and unmeasurable. Construct the disturbance removal compensator to make the influence of disturbance $M_d(t)$ not appear in output $y(l,t)$.

In this section, assuming that the characteristic polynomial of disturbance is known, a disturbance removal compensator is made using Youla Parametrization based on coprime factorization. In order to remove disturbance completely, it is necessary to include a disturbance model in a control system by the internal model principle.

First, disturbance which satisfies (8) is considered.

$$\Delta(s)M_d(t) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta$ is the model of disturbance of $M_d$.

Considering $T(s)$ factored over the ring of proper stable rational functions given as

$$T(s) = N(s)D^{-1}(s) = \hat{D}^{-1}(s)\hat{N}(s) \quad (9)$$

$$Y(s)D(s) + X(s)N(s) = I \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{D}(s)\hat{Y}(s) + \hat{N}(s)\hat{X}(s) = I \quad (11)$$

Since $T(s)$ is a proper stable rational function, $N(s)$, $\hat{D}(s)$ and the solution of a Bezout equation $\hat{X}(s)$, $\hat{Y}(s)$ can be decided as follows, respectively.

$\hat{N}(s) = T(s) \quad (12)$

$\hat{D}(s) = I \quad (13)$

$\hat{X}(s) = 0 \quad (14)$

$\hat{Y}(s) = I \quad (15)$

As mentioned above, the Youla-Kucera expression of a stabilization compensator is expressed as (16).

$$C(s) = [C_1(s), -C_2(s)] \quad (16)$$

$$C_1(s) = (D(s) + C_2(s)N(s)K(s)) \quad (17)$$

$$C_2(s) = (\hat{X}(s) + D(s)Q(s))(\hat{Y}(s) - N(s)Q(s))^{-1} \quad (18)$$

where $K(s)$ and $Q(s)$ are the free parameters which a designer can set up arbitrarily. Here, the flexibility of $Q(s)$ is used. From (14)－(16), a control input becomes (17)

$$M_p(t) = D(s)K(s)r(t) - D(s)Q(s)T(s)M_d(t) \quad (17)$$

Using this control input, the output of the plant is as follows.

$$y(l,t) = N(s)K(s)r(t) + [I - N(s)Q(s)]T(s)M_d(t) \quad (18)$$

If defined as

$$K(s) = D^{-1}(s), \quad (19)$$

the output is as follows.

$$y(t) = y_M(t) + y_d(t) \quad (20)$$

$$y_d(t) = (I - N(s)Q(s))T(s)M_d(t) \quad (20)$$

$y_d(t)$ is the influence of the disturbance to an output. In order to remove this influence, $Q(s)$ is determined from (8) so that $\Delta(s)$ is included in $y_d(t)$.

If $N(s)$ and $\Delta(s)$ are right coprime, there exist $Q(s) \in RH_{\infty}$ such that

$$N(s)Q(s) + \Delta(s)Z(s) = I \quad (21)$$

and

$$y_d(t) = [I - N(s)Q(s)]T(s)M_d(t) \quad (22)$$

$$= Z(s)T(s)\Delta(s)M_d(t) \quad (23)$$

The influence of disturbance is removed from (8).

$Q(s)$ which satisfies (21) should be used so that the disturbance compensator contains a disturbance model in a closed loop as an internal model. However, when disturbance model $\Delta(s)$ is unknown, $Q(s)$ which satisfies (21) is also unknown. Then, expressing the unknown coefficients of $Q(s)$ as unknown parameter matrix $\theta^*$, $Q(s)$ is identified by identifying $\theta^*$ using an adaptive adjustment rule.
If disturbance \( M_d(t) \) is defined below, \( M_d(t) = a \sin(\omega t + \nu), \) disturbance model \( \Delta(s) \) becomes (25).

\[
\Delta(s) = \frac{(s^2 + \omega^2)}{(s - \lambda)^2}
\]

Using this model, adaptive compensator is designed.

\[
Q(s) = \theta^* T [1, s]^T \frac{1}{(s + \lambda)^2}
\]

\[
\theta^* = [\theta_1, \theta_2]^T
\]

\( \theta^* \) is presumed with the following adaptive compensator (Kroumov et al., 1993).

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, two vibration control experiments are performed. Namely, natural vibration and forced vibration of the arm are considered.

In the experiment, we set \( T(s) = T_c(s) \) as follows.

\[
T(s) = \frac{1}{\alpha s^2 + \beta s + \gamma}
\]

where

\[
\alpha = 4166.6667; \beta = 623.8553
\]

\[
\gamma = 12604030.0054
\]

The adaptive compensator is designed for the modeled portion \( T_c(s) \) and the dynamics of the 2nd, 3rd and high order mode in real plant is considered as unmodeled portion. The experiment is performed using the following parameters.

\[
E = 7 \times 10^6 [N/m^2]
\]

\[
\rho = 2700 [kg/m^3]
\]

\[
l = 0.5 [m]
\]

\[
S = 50 \times 10^{-6} [m^2]
\]

\[
l_1 = 0 [m]
\]

\[
l_2 = 0.1 [m]
\]

\[
C = 0.0007
\]

The experimental results for natural vibration are shown in Fig. 5. Fig.5(top) shows the arm top point output (dashed line) for without control and the output (solid line) for the same conditions using the proposed method, Fig.5(bottom) is the control input. Further, the experimental results for forced vibration are shown in Fig. 6. Fig.6(top) shows the arm top point output (dashed line) for without control and the output (solid line) for the same conditions using the proposed method, Fig.6(bottom) is the control input. Comparing the results, the proposed compensation algorithm shows a better vibration control performance. We note that the controller output to the piezoelectric actuator is limited as \(-200[V] \sim +200[V]\) in the above experiments.

5. CONCLUSION

Adaptive vibration control experiment by piezoelectric actuator to cancel the vibration from a flexible arm has been considered in this paper. The vibration controller is designed by using Youla-Kucera parametrization and right coprime factorization approach. Two experimental results on natural vibration and forced vibration show the validity of the adaptive vibration controller with piezoelectric actuator.
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6. APPENDIX

First, consider the following natural vibration equation (Inoue et al., 2004).

\[ \rho S \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ EI \left( 1 + C \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \right] = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Using functions \( \omega(x) \) and \( f(t) \), separate \( y(t) = \omega(x)f(t) \) by the variables \( x \) and \( t \).

\[ \frac{d^2 f(t)}{dt^2} + k^2 C \frac{df(t)}{dt} + k^2 f(t) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

\[ \frac{d^4 \omega(x)}{dx^4} - \lambda^4 \omega(x) = 0, \quad \lambda^4 = \frac{k^2 \rho S}{EI} \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

Considering the boundary conditions of an arm, (32) is derived.

\[ \frac{\omega(0)}{dx} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2 \omega(0)}{dx^2} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2 \omega(l)}{dx^2} = 0, \quad \frac{d^3 \omega(l)}{dx^3} = 0 \]

\[ 1 + \cos \lambda l \cosh \lambda l = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

The solution of (32) becomes as follows.

\[ \lambda_1 l = 1.875, \quad \lambda_2 l = 4.697, \quad \lambda_3 l = 7.855, \cdots \]

With \( \lambda_m (m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots) \), \( \omega_m(x) \) can be expressed like the following formula using an arbitrary constant \( B \).

\[ \omega_m(x) = B \left[ (\sinh \lambda_m l + \sin \lambda_m l)(\cosh \lambda_m x \right.

\[ - \cos \lambda_m x) - (\cosh \lambda_m l + \cos \lambda_m l \left)(\sinh \lambda_m x - \sin \lambda_m x) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

\( \omega_m(x) \) is called the \( m \)th order mode function.

Real vibration becomes the added vibration from the 1st mode to the infinity mode. Therefore, the displacement of an arm \( y(x,t) \) becomes (34).

\[ y(x,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_m(x)f_m(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Substituting (34) in to (5), (35) is obtained.

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{d^2 f_m}{dt^2} + k_m^2 C \frac{df_m}{dt} + k_m^2 f_m(t) \right) \omega_m(x) \]
\[
\frac{1}{\rho S} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ M_p(t) \{ H(x - l_1) - H(x - l_2) \} \right]
\]

(35)

Taking into account that

\[
\int_0^l \omega_m(x)\omega_n(x)dx = \begin{cases} 0 & (m \neq n) \\ \psi_m & (m = n) \end{cases}
\]

it is apparent that

\[
\left\{ \frac{d^2 f_m}{dt^2} + k_m^2 C \frac{df_m}{dt} + k_m^2 f_m(t) \right\} \psi_m = \frac{M_p(t)}{\rho S} \left( \frac{d\omega_m(l_2)}{dx} - \frac{d\omega_m(l_1)}{dx} \right)
\]

(36)

Then, we have

\[
y(x, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\omega_m(x)}{\rho S \psi_m} \{ \omega_m'(l_2) - \omega_m'(l_1) \} \right) M_p(t)
\]

(37)

where \( \omega_m'(l_2) = \frac{d\omega_m(l_2)}{dx} \) and \( \omega_m'(l_1) = \frac{d\omega_m(l_1)}{dx} \).